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## Introduction

F-theory vacua as quantum theories of gravity

- 6d EFTs of String/F-theory
- Controlled
more supersymmetries, geometries under better control, ...
- Interesting
max dim for an SCFT, quantum gravity properties persist, ...


When U(1) becomes weak
= Can it be weaker than gravity? ......Weak Gravity Conjecture [Arkani-Hamed et al. '06]

- Infinite light particles? . . . . . . . . . . . Swampland Distance Conjecture [Ooguri-Vafa '06]
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## Summary

F-theoretic answers to the two questions

- What happens to U(1)s as gravity is decoupled?

```
- U(I) gauge fields lead to gobal U(I) symmetries [SLL-Regalado-Weigand '18]
- Proven in two perspectives
    (a) Physics of supergravity
    (b) Geometry of string/F-theory
```
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- A tensionless string appears (lead to infinite light particles) rsIL-Lerche-Regalado-Weigand '187
- Amogst them are particles with $q \geq \gamma m$ for each charge, where $\gamma=\frac{c}{g M_{\mathrm{P}}^{2}}$
- Their masses are suppressed by $m \simeq m_{0} e^{-d}$
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## Decouple Gravity <br> from Supergravity

[SJL-Regalado-Weigand '18]

## 6D $N=(1,0)$ Supergravity

## Basic Setup

- Multiplets

| Multiplet | Field Contents |
| :---: | :---: |
| Gravity | $\left(g_{\mu \nu}, \psi_{\mu}^{+}, B_{\mu \nu}^{+}\right)$ |
| Tensor | $\left(B_{\mu \nu}^{-}, \chi^{-}, \phi\right)$ |
| Vector | $\left(A_{\mu}, \lambda^{+}\right)$ |
| Hyper | $\left(\psi^{-}, 4 \varphi\right)$ |
| $G=\prod G_{\kappa} \times U(1)^{r}$ |  |

- Action (with $M_{\mathrm{Pl}}=1$ )



## Notations

- $\alpha, \beta=0, \cdots, n_{T} \cdots \cdots \cdots$ tensors $B^{\alpha}$ with $H^{\alpha}=d B^{\alpha}+\frac{1}{2} a^{\alpha} \omega_{L}+\sum \frac{2 b_{k}^{\alpha}}{\lambda_{k}} \omega_{Y}^{k}+2 b^{\alpha} \omega$
= $a_{,}^{\alpha} b_{k}^{\alpha}, b^{\alpha} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots\left(1, n_{T}\right)$ vectors (indices $\alpha, \beta, \cdots$ contracted via $\left.\Omega_{\alpha \beta}\right)$
- $j^{\alpha} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots\left(1, n_{T}\right)$ vector with $j \cdot j=1\left(n_{T}\right.$ tensor-multipletVEVs)
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- Coupling of tensors involves $X_{4}^{\alpha}=\frac{1}{2} a^{\alpha} \operatorname{tr} R^{2}+\sum \frac{2 b_{\kappa}^{\alpha}}{\lambda_{\kappa}} \operatorname{tr} F_{\kappa}^{2}+2 b^{\alpha} F^{2}$
- One-loop anomalies are cancelled
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\mathrm{b}_{\kappa} \cdot \mathrm{b}_{\mu} & =\lambda_{\kappa} \lambda_{\mu} \sum \mathcal{M}_{I}^{\kappa \mu} A_{\kappa}^{I} A_{\mu}^{I} & {\left[G_{\kappa}^{2} \cdot G_{\mu}^{2}\right]} \\
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\mathrm{b} \cdot \mathrm{~b} & =\frac{1}{3} \sum \mathcal{M}_{I} q_{I}^{4} & {\left[U(1)^{4}\right]} \tag{1}
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## 6D $N=(1,0)$ Supergravity

## Anomaly cancellation

- Anomaly cancellation
- Coupling of tensors involves $X_{4}^{\alpha}=\frac{1}{2} a^{\alpha} \operatorname{tr} R^{2}+\sum \frac{2 b_{\kappa}^{\alpha}}{\lambda_{\kappa}} \operatorname{tr} F_{\kappa}^{2}+2 b^{\alpha} F^{2}$
- One-loop anomalies are cancelled
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I_{8}^{1-\text { loop }}=\frac{1}{32} \Omega_{\alpha \beta} X^{\alpha} \wedge X^{\beta}
$$

- Anomaly equations

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\mathrm{b}_{\kappa} \cdot \mathrm{b}_{\kappa} & =\frac{1}{3} \lambda_{\kappa}^{2}\left(\sum \mathcal{M}_{I}^{\kappa} C_{\kappa}^{I}-C_{\mathrm{Adj}_{\kappa}}\right) & {\left[G_{\kappa}^{4}\right]} \\
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where
M's are multiplicities;
A's, C's, and E's are contants.

# Decoupling Gravity in Sugra 

Nonabelian gauge interaction

- Decomposition of $\operatorname{SO}\left(1, \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{T}}\right)$ Vector Space
- (Anti-)Self-duality: $* H^{\alpha}=D_{\beta}^{\alpha} H^{\beta}$ where $D(j)_{\beta}^{\alpha}:=2 j^{\alpha} j_{\beta}-\delta_{\beta}^{\alpha}$

- $\mathrm{G}_{\kappa}$ Interactions in the Decoupling Limit
- $g_{\widehat{\kappa}} \rightarrow \infty$ while $g_{\check{\kappa}}$ finite, for a choice $j_{0}$
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## Decoupling Gravity in Sugra

Nonabelian gauge interaction

- Decomposition of $\operatorname{SO}\left(1, \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{T}}\right)$ Vector Space
- (Anti-)Self-duality: $* H^{\alpha}=D_{\beta}^{\alpha} H^{\beta}$ where $D(j)_{\beta}^{\alpha}:=2 j^{\alpha} j_{\beta}-\delta_{\beta}^{\alpha}$
- $D(j) \sim \operatorname{Diag}(+1,-1, \ldots,-1)$ where the "positive-eigenvector" is $j$ itself
- $\mathbb{R}^{1, n_{T}}=\underset{\longrightarrow \operatorname{Span}\langle j\rangle}{\mathcal{V}^{+}} \oplus \mathcal{V}^{-}$
- $v=v^{+}(j)+v^{-}(j)$
$d H^{ \pm}(j)=\frac{1}{2} a^{ \pm}(j) \operatorname{tr} R^{2}+\sum_{\kappa} \frac{2 b_{\kappa}^{ \pm}(j)}{\lambda_{\kappa}} \operatorname{tr} F_{\kappa}^{2}+2 b^{ \pm}(j) F^{2}$
- $\mathbf{G}_{\kappa}$ Interactions in the Decoupling Limit $\quad \kappa\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { dynamical } \hat{\kappa} \\ \text { non-dynamical } \check{\kappa}\end{array}\right.$
- $g_{\hat{\kappa}} \rightarrow \infty$ while $g_{\check{\kappa}}$ finite, for a choice $j_{0}$
$-1 / g_{\hat{\kappa}}^{2} \sim j_{0} \cdot b_{\hat{\kappa}}=0 \rightarrow b_{\hat{\kappa}}^{+}=0 \cdots$ no $G_{\hat{\kappa}}$-anomaly after decoupling
$-1 / g_{\overparen{\kappa}}^{2} \sim j_{0} \cdot b_{\check{\kappa}} \neq 0 \cdots b_{\check{\kappa}}^{+} \neq 0 \cdots$ non-zero $G_{\check{\nwarrow}}$-anomaly after decoupling
- Fine because $G_{\check{\kappa}}$ gauge fields aren't dynamical ('t Hooft anomaly)


# Decoupling Gravity in Sugra 

Abelian gauge interaction

- $\mathbf{U ( 1 )}$ Interaction in the Decoupling Limit
- Originally: anomaly free, $b \cdot b=\frac{1}{3} \sum \mathcal{M}_{I} q_{I}^{4}>0$
anomaly is no longer cancelled
- U(I) cannot remain dynamical ('t Hooft anomaly)
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- $G_{\check{\kappa}}$ and $U(1)$ remain as a true global symmetry
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## Decoupling Gravity in Sugra

## Abelian gauge interaction

- U(1) Interaction in the Decoupling Limit
- Originally: anomaly free, $b \cdot b=\frac{1}{3} \sum \mathcal{M}_{I} q_{I}^{4}>0$
- $b \cdot b=\frac{b^{-}>b^{+}}{\geq 0}+\frac{b^{-} \cdot b^{-}}{\leq 0} \ldots$ becomes non-positive upon decoupling ... anomaly is no longer cancelled
- $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{I})$ cannot remain dynamical ('t Hooft anomaly)
- Worth Checking
- ABJ anomalies remain cancelled since $b_{\hat{\kappa}}^{+}=0$ and GS contributions to:
(a) $G_{\hat{\kappa}}^{2} \cdot G_{\check{\kappa}}^{2}$ anomaly $\left(\sim b_{\hat{\kappa}} \cdot b_{\check{\kappa}}=b_{\hat{\kappa}}^{-} \cdot b_{\check{\kappa}}^{-}\right)$doesn't change
(b) $G_{\hat{\kappa}}^{2} \cdot U(1)^{2}$ anomaly $\left(\sim b_{\hat{\kappa}} \cdot b=b_{\hat{\kappa}}^{-} \cdot b^{-}\right)$doesn't change
- $G_{\check{\nwarrow}}$ and $U(1)$ remain as a true global symmetry


# Decouple Gravity <br> from String/F-theory EFT 

[SJL-Regalado-Weigand '18]

## F-theory EFT

## Physics via Geometry

- 6d EFT of F-theory
- IIB on $B_{2}$ with varying axio-dilaton
- 6d $\mathrm{N}=(1,0)$ sugra effective physics
- Encoded in the internal geometry


## EFT via Geometry

- Tensor fields
- $S O\left(1, n_{T}\right)$ inner proc
- Anomaly coefficients
- Tensor mult VEVs
- Gauge couplings
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## F-theory EFT

## Physics via Geometry

- 6d EFT of F-theory
- IIB on $B_{2}$ with varying axio-dilaton
- $6 \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{~N}=(1,0)$ sugra effective physics
- Encoded in the internal geometry


## - EFT via Geometry

- Tensor fields

$$
C_{4}=B^{\alpha} \wedge w_{\alpha} \text { with } w_{\alpha} \in H^{1,1}\left(B_{2}\right) ; 1+n_{T}=h^{1,1}\left(B_{2}\right)
$$

- $S O\left(1, n_{T}\right)$ inner prod $\cdots>$ intersection form, $\Omega_{\alpha \beta}=\int_{B_{2}} w_{\alpha} \wedge w_{\beta}$
- Anomaly coefficients $\cdots \cdots a^{\alpha} w_{\alpha}=K_{B} ; b_{\kappa}^{\alpha} w_{\alpha}=C_{\kappa}\left(7\right.$-brane loci); $b^{\alpha} w_{\alpha}=C$ ("hight pairing")
- Tensor mult VEVs $\cdots \ldots \ldots \ldots j^{\alpha} w_{\alpha}=J$, the Kahler form; normalized as $\operatorname{vol}_{J}\left(B_{2}\right)=j \cdot j=1$
- Gauge couplings ............. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}1 / g_{\kappa}^{2} \propto \operatorname{vol}_{J}\left(b_{\kappa}\right) \\ 1 / g^{2} \propto \operatorname{vol}_{J}(b)\end{array}\right.$


## Revisiting the Sugra Results

## Geometric interpretation via F-theory

- Criterion for Being Dynamical in the Decoupling Limit
- $g$ 's $\rightarrow \infty$ with $M_{\mathrm{Pl}}$ fixed
" $\operatorname{vol}_{J}(b$ 's $)=0$ with $\operatorname{vol}_{J}\left(B_{2}\right)$ fixed; $b$ 's need to be "contractible"
Geometric Intuition
- $B_{2}$ may have both contractable curves and noncontractable ones
- $b_{\hat{\kappa}}$ can only be of the former type
- $b$ should never be contractible as $U(I)$ is bound to become a global symmetry
- U(I) anomaly equation gives a direct geometric clue
- Mumford's contractibility criterion:
$\square$
- $b \cdot b>0$ implies $b$ is not contractible!
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## Revisiting the Sugra Results

Geometric interpretation via F-theory

- Criterion for Being Dynamical in the Decoupling Limit
- $g$ 's $\rightarrow \infty$ with $M_{\mathrm{Pl}}$ fixed
- $\operatorname{vol}_{J}(b$ 's $)=0$ with $\operatorname{vol}_{J}\left(B_{2}\right)$ fixed; $b$ 's need to be "contractible"
- Geometric Intuition
- $B_{2}$ may have both contractable curves and noncontractable ones
- $b_{\hat{\kappa}}$ can only be of the former type
- $b$ should never be contractible as $U(I)$ is bound to become a global symmetry
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$$
\left\{C_{i}\right\} \text { contract to point(s) } \Rightarrow I_{i j}=C_{i} \cdot C_{j} \text { negative (semi)definite }
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- $b \cdot b>0$ implies $b$ is not contractible!
$\sim \sum \mathcal{M}_{I} q_{I}^{A}$


## Geometric Constraint in F-theory

" $U(1)$ curves" are never contractible

- Rudiments
- An elliptic Calabi-Yau 3-fold, $\pi: Y_{3} \rightarrow B_{2}$, as IIB/F-theory background
- $G_{k}$ : degenerate fibers along curves $b_{k} \in H_{2}\left(B_{2}\right)$
- $U(1)$ : an extra section $S \in H_{4}\left(\hat{Y}_{3}\right)$ (in addition to the zero-section $S_{0}$ )
- U(I) gauge coupling
$-C_{3}=A_{D}[D]+\cdots$, where $[D] \in H^{1,1}\left(\hat{Y}_{3}\right)$

$$
1 / g^{2}=\int_{\hat{Y}_{3}}[\sigma(s)] \wedge *[\sigma(s)] \quad \xrightarrow{\text { F-theory limit }} \operatorname{vol}_{J}\left(-\pi_{*}(\sigma(s) \cdot \sigma(s))\right.
$$
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—Any base curve with $C \cdot C \leq-3$ supports a nonabelian gauge field [Morrison-Taylor '12] - If all base curves have self-intersection bigger than -3 , then $\bar{K}_{B_{2}} \cdot \bar{K}_{B_{2}}>0$ - Can also prove in the presence of $G_{\kappa}$
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- Can also prove in the presence of $G_{\kappa}$
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## Weaken U(1)

## with gravity coupling fixed

[SJL-Lerche-Regalado-Weigand '18]

## Testing QG Conjectures in F-theory WGC, SDC, ...

(sL)WGC: in the limit where $U(1)$ is weak

- Can prove, for a general F-theory model with $\cup(I)$, that a curve in $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ must srhink
- D3-wrapped string is tensionless and leads to infinite light particles
- Of the charged particles at each mass-level.
- the maximal charge is proportional to mass
- their counting is also given analytically
- The masses of those particles can be written in terms of the moduli space distance
- Observe that they are suppressed exponentially
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# Details in the Talk by T.Weigand 

## Conclusions

- What happens to $U(1)$ s as gravity is decoupled?
- $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{I})$ gauge fields lead to global $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{I})$ symmetries
- at the SCFT level, they are the flavor $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{I})$ s
- Proven independently via physics and via mathematics
- What happens to (F-)EFT as U(1) gets weaker than gravity?
- Infinite tower of massless particles arise from a tensionless string
- They support some of the quantum gravity conjectures
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