# Electroweak Baryogenesis and Dark Matter from a Complex Singlet

# Da Huang IFT, University of Warsaw @ String Pheno 2018

#### Work in progress, In collaboration with Bohdan Grzadkowski

## Content

- Motivation
- Strongly First-Order Electroweak Phase Transition
- Dark Matter Physics
- > CP Violation and EW Baryogenesis
- Models with Correct DM Relic density
- Summary

➢ In spite of the great success of the Standard Model (SM) of particle physics, there are still many puzzles needing to be explained. Among others, two important questions are

- Dark Matter : In the SM, there is no DM candidate.
- Matter-Antimatter Asymmetry in our Universe
- > Both problems require the physics beyond the SM.

There are already many established evidences for the existence of dark matter

- Rotation Curves of Spiral Galaxies
   Babcock, 1939, Bosma, 1978; Rubin & Ford, 1980
- Gravitational Lensing
- CMB
- Bullet Clusters







Multipole moment,  $\ell$ 

@ String Pheno 2018

Observed Baryon Asymmetry: Planck Collaboration, arXiv: 1502.01589

 $\eta_B \equiv \frac{n_B}{s} = (8.61 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-11}$ 

> Three Sakharov criteria for baryogenesis:

 $\checkmark$  B violation

A. D. Sakharov, 1967

- $\checkmark$  C and CP violation
- ✓ Thermal non-equilibrium
- Situation in the SM:

F. R. Klinkhamer & N.S. Manton 1984

- ✓ B violation: weak sphaleron process M. E. Shaposhnikov, 1987
- ✓ The CP violation due to CKM phase is inadequate
- ✓ EW phase transition is actually a cross-over, rather

than being of strongly first-order. K. Kajantie et al, hep-ph/9605288

EW Baryogenesis:

✓ new CPV sources

V. A. Kuzmin, V.A. Rubakov, M.E. Shaposhnikov, 1985; A. G. Cohen, D. B. Kaplan and A. E. Nelson, 1990

✓ adding new particles with masses of EW scale in order to make the EWPT of strongly first-order, which provides the necessary deviation from an equilibrium.

Problem: The new CPV source required by the baryogenesis is strongly constrained by the EDMs of electrons and neutrons. ACME Collaoration, 1310.7534; PDG 2016;

Possible solution: If the CP is spontaneously broken at high temperatures before the EWPT while restored afterward, then the CPV constraint can be evaded!

## The Model

Extend the SM by an EW singlet complex scalar

$$S = (s + ia)/\sqrt{2}$$

with a  $Z_2$  symmetry:  $S \leftrightarrow -S$  and CP symmetry related to S

J. McDonald, 1994, 1995; G.C. Branco et al, 9805302; S. Profumo et al, 0705.2425; ... The scalar potential at zero temperature:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{W}_{0}(H,S) &= \lambda_{H} \left( |H|^{2} - \frac{v_{0}^{2}}{2} \right)^{2} - \mu_{1}^{2} (S^{*}S)^{2} - \frac{\mu_{2}^{2}}{2} (S^{2} + S^{*2}) \\ &+ \lambda_{1} (S^{*}S)^{2} + \frac{\lambda_{2}}{4} (S^{2} + S^{*2})^{2} + \frac{\lambda_{3}}{2} |S|^{2} (S^{2} + S^{*2}) \\ &+ |H|^{2} \left[ \kappa_{1} (S^{*}S) + \frac{\kappa_{2}}{2} (S^{2} + S^{*2}) \right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{H} v_{0}^{2} h^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \lambda_{H} h^{4} - \frac{1}{2} (\mu_{1}^{2} + \mu_{2}^{2}) s^{2} - \frac{1}{2} (\mu_{1}^{2} - \mu_{2}^{2}) a^{2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} (\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2} + \lambda_{3}) s^{4} + \frac{1}{4} (\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2} - \lambda_{3}) a^{4} \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} (\kappa_{1} + \kappa_{2}) h^{2} s^{2} + \frac{1}{4} (\kappa_{1} - \kappa_{2}) h^{2} a^{2} + \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_{1} - \lambda_{2}) s^{2} a^{2} + \text{const.} \end{split}$$

## The Model

Leading-order finite-temperature corrections at high-T expansion

$$V_T = \frac{1}{2}c_hT^2h^2 + \frac{1}{2}c_sT^2s^2 + \frac{1}{2}c_aT^2a^2$$

where

$$c_{h} = \frac{3g^{2}}{16} + \frac{g'^{2}}{16} + \frac{y_{t}^{2}}{4} + \frac{\lambda_{H}}{2} + \frac{\kappa_{1}}{12},$$

$$c_{s} = \frac{1}{6}(2\lambda_{1} + \kappa_{1} + \kappa_{2}) + \frac{\lambda_{3}}{4},$$

$$c_{a} = \frac{1}{6}(2\lambda_{1} + \kappa_{1} - \kappa_{2}) - \frac{\lambda_{3}}{4}.$$

> Total Potential:

$$V_{\rm tot} = V_0 + V_T$$

@ String Pheno 2018

### **EW Phase Transition**

Rewrite the total scalar potential

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\text{tot}} &= \frac{\lambda_{hs}}{4} \left( h^2 - v_c^2 + \frac{v_c^2 s^2}{w_c^2 \cos^2 \alpha} \right)^2 + \frac{\lambda_{ha}}{4} \left( h^2 - v_c^2 + \frac{v_c^2 a^2}{w_c^2 \sin^2 \alpha} \right)^2 \\ &+ \frac{\lambda_{sa}}{4} \left( s^2 \sin^2 \alpha - a^2 \cos^2 \alpha \right)^2 + \frac{\kappa_{hs}}{4} h^2 s^2 + \frac{\kappa_{ha}}{4} h^2 a^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} (T^2 - T_c^2) [c_h h^2 + c_s s^2 + c_a a^2] \end{aligned}$$

 $\succ$  Two vacua: (h, s, a) = ( $v_c$ , 0, 0) and (0,  $w_c \cos \alpha$ ,  $w_c \sin \alpha$ )

Critical Temperature:

$$T_c^2 = \lambda_H (v_0^2 - v_c^2) / c_h$$

## **EW Phase Transition**

Further Consistency Constraints:

✓ Strongly First-Order EWPT:

$$v_c/T_c > 1$$

G. D. Moore, hep-ph/9805264

✓ Potential Stability: assume positive couplings

✓ Correct EWPT direction from (0,  $w_c \cos \alpha$ ,  $w_c \sin \alpha$ ) to ( $v_c$ , 0, 0)  $c_h v_c^2 > c_s w_c^2 \cos^2 \alpha + c_a w_c^2 \sin^2 \alpha$ ✓  $Z_2$  symmetry:  $\alpha \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ ✓ Perturbativity:  $|\lambda_{1,2,3}, \kappa_{1,2}| \leq 5$  M. Nebot et al, 0711.0483

## **Dark Matter Physics**

> Depending the mass ordering, either s or a can be DM candidate X

> The DM pheno. only depends on Higgs portal coupling

 $\lambda_{hX} h^2 X^2/4$  J. M. Cline & K. Kainulainen, 1210.4196

with

$$\lambda_{hX} = \begin{cases} \kappa_{hs} + \frac{2\lambda_{hs}v_c^2}{w_c^2\cos^2\alpha}, \ X = s\\ \kappa_{ha} + \frac{2\lambda_{ha}v_c^2}{w_c^2\sin^2\alpha}, \ X = a \end{cases}$$

➤ The DM relic density is obtained by the freeze-out mechanism, and is calculated with MicrOMEGAs code.
➤ In order to consider the case with subdominant DM, we define the DM fraction:  $f_X = \frac{\Omega_X h^2}{\Omega_{\text{DM,obs}} h^2} \quad \text{with } \Omega_{\text{DM,obs}} h^2 = 0.1186$ 

## **Dark Matter Physics**

- > DM Constraints:
  - ✓ DM direct detection: XENON1T
  - ✓ DM Indirect detection: Fermi-LAT, Planck, and AMS-02
  - ✓ SM Higgs Invisible Decay:  $Br(h \rightarrow XX) \leq 0.24$  PDG 2016
  - ✓ Monojet searches: CMS

## **High-T CP Violation**

S can acquire a complex VEV before EWPT

$$\langle S \rangle = w_c e^{i\alpha}/\sqrt{2}$$

> With the following dim-6 operator

$$\mathcal{O}_6 = \frac{S^2}{\Lambda^2} \bar{Q}_{3L} \tilde{H} t_R + \text{H.c.}$$

J. R. Espinosa et al., 1110.2876;
J.M. Cline & K. Kainulainen,
1210.4196;
V. Vaskonen, 1611.02073

the CP symmetry is spontaneously broken, which is shown by the induced complex-valued top quark Yukawa coupling

$$\frac{w_c^2 e^{i2\alpha}}{2\Lambda^2} \bar{Q}_{3L} \tilde{H} t_R + \text{H.c.}$$

> Together with top Yukawa, we have complex top-quark mass

➢ For a first-order EWPT, the PT proceeds via the bubble nucleation.

Near the bubble wall, the top acquires a spatially varying complex mass

$$m_t(z) = \frac{y_t}{\sqrt{2}} h(z) \left( 1 + \frac{S(z)^2}{y_t \Lambda^2} \right) \equiv |m_t(z)| e^{i\theta(z)}$$

M. Joyce, et al., hep-ph/9410282; J.M. Cline et al., hep-ph/9708393, hep-ph/0006119 This top mass would generate CPV forces that act on tops and anti-tops differently when they pass through the wall.

$$F_z = -\frac{(m^2)'}{2E_0} \pm s \frac{(m^2\theta')'}{2E_0E_{0z}} \mp s \frac{\theta'm^2(m^2)'}{4E_0^3E_{0z}}$$

L. Fromme & S.J. Huber, hep-ph/0604159

which is the source of CPV in the EW baryogenesis.

@ String Pheno 2018

> Approximate solution of bubble wall profile:

$$S(z) \equiv \frac{w_c e^{i\alpha}}{2\sqrt{2}} [1 + \tanh(z/L_w)],$$
  
$$h(z) \equiv \frac{v_c}{2} [1 - \tanh(z/L_w)],$$

J. R. Espinosa, et al, arXiv: 1110.2876

where  $L_w$  is the bubble wall width given by

$$L_w = \frac{v_c^2 + w_c^2}{6V_{\times}}$$

with  $V_{\times}$  the potential energy at the top of the barrier.

The CP violations created around the bubble wall would transport to the EW symmetric phase deeply, where it biases the EW sphaleron processes to generate baryon asymmetry.

The transportation of the CP asymmetry is described by the transport equations of chemical potentials and velocity perturbations of  $t_L$ ,  $t_R$ ,  $b_L$  and SM Higgs. L. Fromme & S.J. Huber, hep-ph/0604159



#### > The final baryon asymmetry density is predicted to be

$$\eta_B = \frac{n_B}{s} = \frac{405\Gamma_{\rm sph}}{4\pi^2 v_w g_* T} \int_0^\infty dz \mu_{B_L}(z) e^{-45\Gamma_{\rm sph}|z|/(4v_w)}$$

where  $\mu_{B_L} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + 4K_{1,t_L})\mu_{t_L} + \frac{1}{2}(1 + 4K_{1,b_L})\mu_{b_L} + 2K_{1,t_R}\mu_{t_R}$ ,  $v_w$  is the bubble wall velocity in the plasma, and  $\Gamma_{sph} \simeq 10^{-6}T$  is the sphaleron rate in the symmetric phase. J.M. Cline et al., hep-ph/0006119



@ String Pheno 2018

- Additional Constraints:
- ✓ Positive baryon asymmetry ⇒ CPV phase α < 0</li>
   ✓ Validity of semiclassical framework ⇒ L<sub>w</sub>T<sub>c</sub> ≥ 3
   ✓ Reliable use of O<sub>6</sub> ⇒ Λ > 500 GeV and w<sub>c</sub><sup>2</sup>/Λ<sup>2</sup> < 0.5 for</li>





## **Scanning Results**

Implications of EWBG on the DM properties



Only SM Higgs resonance region can generate the enough cosmological baryon asymmetry without violating any bounds.

#### Models with Correct DM Density

Question: Can this simple model explain the DM relic density and baryon asymmetry simultaneously?

Zoom-in Scan near SM Higgs Resonance



## Summary

➢ We explored a new connection between DM and EWBG in a simple EW singlet extension of the SM.

➤ The model is appealing in that the CPV necessary for the EWBG is only spontaneously generated at temperatures higher than the EWPT, while the CP symmetry is restored at present time, so that the low-energy electron and neutron EDM constraints can be evaded.

➢ We show that the model can generate the DM relic density and baryon asymmetry with the DM mass near the SM Higgs resonance.

Thanks for your attention!

## **Scanning Results**

#### Constraining power of DM direct searches

